Cortical Sources of Resting State EEG Rhythms are Sensitive to the Progression of Early Stage Alzheimer’s Disease
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Abstract. Cortical sources of resting state electroencephalographic (EEG) rhythms are abnormal in subjects with Alzheimer’s disease (AD). Here we tested the hypothesis that these sources are also sensitive to the progression of early stage AD over the course of one year. The resting state eyes-closed EEG data were recorded in 88 mild AD patients at baseline (Mini Mental State Evaluation, MMSE I = 21.7 ± 0.2 standard error, SE) and at approximately one-year follow up (13.3 months ± 0.5 SE; MMSE II = 20 ± 0.4 SE). All patients received standard therapy with acetylcholinesterase inhibitors. EEG recordings were also performed in 35 normal elderly (Nold) subjects as controls. EEG rhythms of interest were delta (2–4 Hz), theta (4–8 Hz), alpha 1 (8–10.5 Hz), alpha 2 (10.5–13 Hz), beta 1 (13–20 Hz), beta 2 (20–30 Hz), and gamma (30–40 Hz). Cortical EEG sources were estimated by low-resolution brain electromagnetic tomography (LORETA). Compared to the Nold subjects, the mild AD patients were characterized by a power increase of widespread delta sources and by a power decrease of posterior alpha sources. In the mild AD patients, the follow-up EEG recordings showed increased power of widespread delta sources as well as...
decreased power of widespread alpha and posterior beta 1 sources. These results suggest that the resting state EEG sources were sensitive, at least at group level, to the cognitive decline occurring in the mild AD group over a one-year period, and might represent cost-effective and non-invasive markers with which to enrich cohorts of AD patients that decline faster for clinical studies.
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INTRODUCTION

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is an irreversible disorder characterized by a progressive neuronal deterioration resulting in a loss of cognitive functions [1]. Therefore, it is of great interest to define instrumental markers of the disease progression, namely those sensitive to the progression of the cognitive dysfunction in AD patients over time.

Nowadays, several instrumental markers are available for the assessment of AD patients [2–6]. They include the analysis of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) sampled by lumbar puncture measuring amyloid-β (Aβ) and tau metabolites as key indicators of pathology [7]. Structural magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) detects neurodegeneration in the brain as measured by regional atrophy of the hippocampus and cerebral cortex [8–12]. Resting state positron emission tomography (PET)-fluoro-deoxy-glucose (FDG) allows the mapping of typical temporo-parietal, posterior cingulate, and precuneous hypometabolism in AD patients [13, 14]. Furthermore, PET-amyloid Pittsburgh Compound B (PIB) is used for the visualization in vivo of Aβ deposition in the brain of AD patients [15–17]. However, all of these instrumental markers are relatively expensive and invasive, and cannot be systematically applied to all AD patients. Specifically, with the need to perform drug studies in the pre-clinical or at-risk population, there is a major requirement for the AD scientific community to develop novel non-invasive and relatively cheap markers that accurately reflect the progression of AD pathology.

Previous studies in AD patients have shown that the power (i.e., spectral power density) of resting state eyes-closed electroencephalographic (EEG) rhythms might provide promising markers of the disease state [18–23]. It has been reported that compared to normal elderly (Nold) subjects, AD patients are characterized by higher power of widespread delta (0–3 Hz) and theta rhythms (4–7 Hz), lower power of posterior alpha rhythms (8–12 Hz) with a slowing of the alpha peak frequency, and lower power of beta (14–30 Hz) and gamma (around 40 Hz) rhythms [19, 24–31]. Only a few studies have reported a difference of resting EEG power at gamma band (around 40 Hz) between Nold and mild AD with contrasting results. One study reported lower power of gamma (35–45 Hz) rhythms in AD compared to Nold subjects [32]; on the contrary, another study showed an increase of gamma (30–70 Hz) rhythms in AD compared to Nold subjects [33]. The more reproducible EEG abnormalities (i.e., ratio of alpha/delta global field power) were associated with markers of the amyloid cascade measured in the CSF of AD subjects [34]. Furthermore, they were associated with altered resting state regional cerebral blood flow (rCBF), as measured by single photon emission computed tomography or PET-FDG [35, 27], and with the severity of the cognitive impairment in AD patients, as indexed by Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) score [35].

The functional significance of EEG abnormalities in AD is further evidenced by studies investigating the relationship between EEG rhythms and cognition in humans (i.e., attention, memory). It has been shown that a good cognitive performance is predicted by high alpha power and low theta power in the pre-stimulus period [36, 37]. Successful encoding processes would depend on the increase of the frontal theta power, reflecting the functional mode of loops including basal forebrain, hippocampus, and cerebral cortex [36, 37]. Later, successful retrieval processes into semantic or episodic long-term memory would depend on the decrease of posterior alpha power, reflecting the functional mode of thalamo-cortical and cortico-cortical feedback loops [36]. As a general rule, the stronger the pre-stimulus alpha power, the stronger its power reduction during the stimulus processing, and the better the cognitive performance [36, 37]. A relationship between power of EEG rhythms and cognition was also found in AD and in mild cognitive impairment (MCI) subjects [38–40]. In particular, the power of alpha rhythms was positively related to global cognitive status (i.e., MMSE score), immediate memory for digits probing focused attention, and verbal
memory recall [38–40]. On the contrary, the power of delta and theta rhythms was negatively related to global cognition status, visuo-spatial immediate memory probing focused attention, verbal memory recall, and visuo-spatial memory recall [38–40]. Finally, it has been reported that acetylcholinesterase inhibitors (i.e., donepezil, rivastigmine) improve cognitive status (i.e., MMSE and ADAS-Cog), cognitive function, and participation in activities of daily living in patients with mild to moderately severe AD [41–48]. The improvement of the global cognitive status was related to an increase of alpha rhythms and a decrease of pathological delta rhythms [41, 47, 48]. Only a few “longitudinal” studies in relatively small groups of AD patients have tested the hypothesis that the resting state scalp EEG rhythms could be used as a marker of the disease progression [49–51]. In one study, 27 MCI subjects were followed for a mean period of 21 months between baseline and follow up EEG recordings [51]. At the follow up, the MCI subjects showed a power increase of theta and delta rhythms in parietal and occipital scalp regions and a power decrease of beta rhythms. In another study, a group of 40 AD patients was followed for a mean period of about 1 year (1-year follow up; Recording II). Cortical sources of the EEG rhythms were estimated by LORETA estimation. LORETA is a promising technique for research in AD since (i) it is freeware, and can be freely downloaded by any research unit worldwide enabling the control/replication of the results for future scientific and clinical applications; and (ii) it has been successfully used in research on AD and EEG markers by independent research groups worldwide [25, 38, 39, 41, 55–72]. In particular, our previous studies have shown that: 1) the posterior sources of dominant alpha rhythms (about 8–10Hz) were abnormal in AD subjects when compared to Nold, cerebrovascular dementia, and Parkinson disease subjects [55, 69]; 2) the posterior sources of delta (<4Hz) and dominant alpha rhythms were related to global cognitive status (i.e., MMSE score) in both MCI and AD subjects [38]; 3) the atrophy of hippocampus and cortical gray matter was related to the decline of posterior cortical sources of dominant alpha rhythms in MCI and/or AD subjects [64, 71]; 4) 1-year treatment with an acetylcholinesterase inhibitor (i.e., donepezil) slowed the decline of the posterior cortical sources of dominant alpha rhythms in the ‘drug responder group’ [41]; and 5) the posterior cortical sources of the dominant alpha rhythms were related to the stability of the global cognitive status in MCI subjects [70]. To our knowledge, previous “longitudinal” studies did not explore whether cortical sources of resting state EEG rhythms can be used as instrumental surrogates of the disease progression. These markers may be extremely useful when applied to clinical studies to understand the neurophysiological mechanisms of action of drugs being developed for AD. In the present study, we hypothesized that cortical sources of resting state EEG rhythms were sensitive to both disease state (i.e., cortical sources of resting state EEG rhythms are different between Nold and AD subjects) and progression (i.e., cortical sources of resting state EEG rhythms deteriorate with progression of the disease) in a large cohort of mild AD patients. To test this hypothesis, resting state eyes-closed EEG data were recorded in a large cohort of mild AD patients at baseline (Recording I) and after a mean period of about 1 year (1-year follow up; Recording II). Cortical sources of the EEG rhythms were estimated by means of the LORETA freeware [53], following the procedures reported in the aforementioned reference EEG studies [38, 41, 55, 64, 69–71]. Global cognitive status over time was indexed by the MMSE score [73].
METHODS

We have previously and extensively described the EEG procedures of subjects’ recruitment, EEG recording, and LORETA source analysis used in the current study, and part of the present individual data sets was used for previous physiological and pathologic aging studies on EEG rhythms [38, 39, 41, 55–71]. In particular, the EEG recording of 100% of Nold and about 40% of mild AD was taken from our “historical” EEG database. About 60% of the new individual EEG data sets of mild AD subjects were recorded and analyzed for the present study. We selected individual data of mild AD subjects from our archive on the basis of the following criteria: (i) fitting with the mentioned inclusion/exclusion criteria; (ii) availability of the EEG recordings and the MMSE scores recorded at baseline time (Recording I; MMSE I) and approximately after 1 year (Recording II; MMSE II) from the first recording; and (iii) MMSE score at baseline between 17–25. Furthermore, we selected individual data sets of Nold subjects matched for age, gender, and education with the mild AD group.

Subjects and diagnostic criteria

For the present multi-centric study, 88 mild AD patients were enrolled. All patients followed a standard daily therapy with an acetylcholinesterase inhibitor (donepezil; 5–10 mg per day; rivastigmine 3 mg per day). We also recruited 35 cognitively normal elderly subjects (Nold) matched for age, gender, and education to serve as controls with the mild AD group. All experiments were performed with the informed consent of each participant or caregiver, in line with the Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki).

Probable AD was diagnosed according to NINCDS-ADRDA [74] and DSM IV criteria. The recruited mild AD patients underwent general medical, neurological, and psychiatric assessments. Patients were also rated with a number of standardized diagnostic instruments that included MMSE [73], Clinical Dementia Rating Scale (CDR) [75], Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) [76], Hachinski Ischemic Score (HIS, [77]), and Instrumental Activities of Daily Living scale (IADL) [78]. Neuroimaging diagnostic procedures (MRI) and complete laboratory analyses were carried out to exclude other causes of progressive or reversible dementias, in order to enrich for a clinically homogenous mild AD patient group. Exclusion criteria included, in particular, any evidence of (i) frontotemporal dementia, diagnosed according to criteria of Lund and Manchester Groups (1994), (ii) vascular dementia, diagnosed according to NINDS-AIREN criteria [79], (iii) extra-pyramidal syndromes, (iv) reversible dementias (including pseudodementia of depression); and (v) Lewy body dementia. Importantly, benzodiazepines, antidepressant, and/or antihypertensive were suspended for about 24 h before EEG recordings. The control group of Nold subjects was recruited mainly among non-consanguineous relatives of mild AD patients. All Nold subjects underwent physical and neurological examinations as well as cognitive screening (including MMSE and GDS). Subjects affected by chronic systemic illnesses (e.g., diabetes mellitus) were excluded, as were subjects receiving psychoactive drugs. Subjects with a history of present or previous neurological or psychiatric disease were also excluded. All Nold subjects had a GDS score lower than 14 (no depression).

EEG recordings

Resting state eyes-closed EEG data were recorded in the Nold and mild AD subjects by specialized clinical units, in the framework of the diagnostic phase. The EEG recordings were carried out (0.3–70 Hz bandpass) from 19 electrodes positioned according to the International 10–20 System (i.e., Fp1, Fp2, F7, F3, Fz, F4, F8, T3, C3, Cz, C4, T4, T5, P3, Pz, P4, T6, O1, O2; see Fig. 1). A specific kind of reference electrode was not used in all recording units, given that...
the present preliminary data analysis and LORETA source analysis were based on common average reference. To monitor eye movements, the horizontal and vertical electro-oculogram (0.3–70 Hz bandpass) was also collected. All data were digitized in continuous recording mode (5 min of EEG, 128–256 Hz sampling rate). The EEG data, together with the MMSE scores, were recorded at baseline time (Recording I; MMSE I) and approximately after 1 year (13.3 months ± 0.5 standard error, SE; Recording II; MMSE II) from the first recording. All recordings were performed in the late morning. In order to keep a constant level of vigilance, an on-line experimenter monitored the subject and the EEG traces. He verbally alerted the subject any time there were signs of behavioral and/or EEG drowsiness or the subject opened the eyes. The duration and all other technical characteristics of the EEG recording (5 min) allowed the comparison of the present results with several previous AD studies using either EEG recording periods shorter than 5 min [38, 39, 41, 55–71, 79–82] or about 1 min [24, 25]; longer epochs would have reduced data variability but increased risks for dropping vigilance and arousal.

Preliminary EEG-EOG data analysis

The recorded EEG data were analyzed and segmented off-line in consecutive epochs of 2 s. The EEG epochs with ocular, muscular, and other types of artifact were preliminary identified by a computerized automatic procedure. The EEG epochs with sporadic blinking artifacts (less than 10% of the total) were corrected by an autoregressive method [83]. Two independent experimenters blind to the diagnosis manually confirmed the EEG segments that were acceptable for further analysis. Special attention was given to avoid the inclusion of EEG segments and individual data sets with EEG signs of drowsiness or pre-sleep stages. Finally, we re-referenced off-line artifact free EEG data to a common average for further analysis.

Spectral analysis of the EEG data

A digital FFT-based power spectrum analysis (Welch technique, Hanning windowing function, no phase shift) computed power density of the EEG rhythms with 0.5 Hz frequency resolution. The standard frequency bands of interest were delta (2–4 Hz), theta (4–8 Hz), alpha 1 (8–10.5 Hz), alpha 2 (10.5–13 Hz), beta 1 (13–20 Hz), beta 2 (20–30 Hz), and gamma (30–40 Hz). These band frequencies were chosen averaging those used in a lot of previous relevant EEG studies on dementia, while sharing of a frequency bin by two contiguous bands is a widely accepted procedure [36, 38, 39, 41, 55–71, 73, 84–95]. However, it should be noted that the choice of fixed bands did not account for EEG markers such as individual alpha frequency (IAF) peak, defined as the frequency associated with the strongest EEG power at the extended alpha range [36]. The mean IAF peak, for the mild AD patients, was 8.7 Hz (±0.2 SE) for the Recording I and 8.4 Hz (±0.2 SE) for the Recording II, and for the Nold subjects, was 9.6 Hz (±0.2 SE). Two statistical analyses (ANOVA) were performed to test possible differences in the IAF peak, the first between the Nold and mild AD subjects (at Recording I), and the second, in the mild AD patients, after one year. Statistically significant ANOVA differences were found both using the factor Group (AD- Recording I-, Nold; independent variable; p < 0.01) and using the factor Condition (Recording I, Recording II, dependent variable; p < 0.05). To control for the residual effect of IAF on the comparison of EEG variables, the IAF peak was used as a covariate (together with age, gender, and education) for further statistical analysis.

We could not use narrow frequency bands for beta 1 (13–20 Hz), beta 2 (20–30 Hz), and gamma (30–40 Hz) bands because of the variability of beta and gamma peaks in the power spectra. Therefore, LORETA results for the beta and gamma bands could suffer from the limitation of sensitivity of EEG spectral analyses for large bands [96].

Cortical source of EEG rhythms as computed by LORETA

The LORETA software as provided at http://www.unil.ch/keyinst/NewLORETA/LORETA01.htm was used for the estimation of cortical sources of EEG rhythms [53, 97, 98]. LORETA is a source reconstruction technique belonging to a family of linear inverse solution procedures modeling 3D distributions of EEG sources [98]. It has been shown that LORETA was quite efficient when compared to other linear inverse algorithms like minimum norm solution, weighted minimum norm solution or weighted resolution optimization [97–99]. LORETA can be used from EEG data collected by low spatial sampling of 19-electrodes 10–20 system montage, when cortical sources are estimated from resting EEG rhythms [38, 39, 41, 55, 56–71, 73, 100–103]. Furthermore, it has been successfully used by independent research groups in recent EEG studies on AD using the same experimental set
up of the present one [25, 38–41, 55–73]. Noteworthy, this electrode montage is considered as an adequate EEG spatial sampling for the estimation of cortical sources of eyes closed resting state EEG rhythms, since these rhythms are widely represented across all human cerebral cortex in contrast to the circumscribed functional topography of event-related EEG changes (especially at high frequencies) that occur in response to specific sensory or motor events. Therefore, eyes closed resting state EEG rhythms can be properly sampled with a relatively low amount of electrodes, as opposed to the higher spatial sampling required to take into account to the detailed functional topography of event-related EEG activity. This relatively low-spatial sampling of EEG rhythms is consistent with the fact that LORETA solutions are intrinsically maximally smoothed at source space, due to its regularization procedure [53]. LORETA computes 3D linear solutions (LORETA solutions) for the EEG inverse problem within a 3-shell spherical head model including scalp, skull, and brain compartments. The brain compartments are restricted to the cortical grey matter/hippocampus of a head model co-registered to the Talairach probabilistic brain atlas and digitized at the Brain Imaging Center of the Montreal Neurological Institute [104]. This compartment includes 2394 voxels (7 mm resolution), each voxel containing an equivalent current dipole. EEG electrode positions were not co-registered to individual brain source models. Unfortunately, the official LORETA package did not include software to do so and we could not obtain the digitalization of the electrode position from our clinical units.

LORETA solutions consisted of voxel z-current density values able to predict EEG spectral power density at scalp electrodes, being a reference-free method of EEG analysis, in that one obtains the same LORETA source distribution for EEG data referenced to any reference electrode, including common average. A normalization of the data was obtained by normalizing the LORETA current density at each voxel with the power density averaged across all frequencies (0.5–45 Hz) and across all 2,394 voxels of the brain volume. After normalization, the solutions lost the original physical dimension and were represented by an arbitrary unit scale. The general procedure fitted the LORETA solutions in a Gaussian distribution and reduced inter-subject variability [37, 105]. Of note, other methods of normalization using the principal component analysis are effective for estimating the subjective global factor scale of the EEG data [106]. These methods are not available in the LORETA package, so they were not used in this study.

Solutions of the EEG inverse problem are under-determined when the number of spatial samples (electrodes) is lower than the number of the unknown sources of current density at each voxel). To address this, the cortical LORETA solutions predicting scalp EEG spectral power density were regularized to estimate distributed rather than punctate EEG source patterns [53, 97, 98]. In line with the slow spatial resolution of the adopted technique, we used our MATLAB software to average LORETA solutions across all voxels of a given cortical macroregion of interest (ROI), i.e., frontal, central, parietal, occipital, and temporal regions of the brain model (Table 1 lists the ROIs in terms of Brodmann areas as defined within the LORETA source space). This methodological option may minimize the effects of poor LORETA estimates in deep voxels (i.e., including those of the limbic region) at which the estimation of EEG sources could be imprecise, especially using an EEG spatial sampling from 19 electrodes (10–20 system).

Finally, the main advantage of the regional analysis of LORETA solutions was that our modeling could disentangle rhythms of contiguous cortical areas. For example, the rhythms of the occipital source were disentangled with respect to those of the contiguous parietal and temporal sources, etc. This was made possible by the fact that LORETA solves the linear inverse problem by taking into account the well-known effects of the head as a volume conductor. With respect to other procedures of data reduction, this type of regional approach may represent an important reference for multimodal comparisons with structural and functional neuroimaging methods (SPECT, PET, surface EEG/MEG topography). Finally, it can be stated that the present approach represents a clear methodological improvement compared to surface electrodes EEG spectral analyses.

Statistical analysis of the LORETA solutions

Regional normalized LORETA solutions from mild AD and Nold subjects were used as a dependent variable in the present study. LORETA solutions were collapsed in frontal, central, parietal, occipital, and temporal ROIs (Table 1 lists the ROIs in terms of Brodmann areas as defined within the LORETA source space).
variable for ANOVA designs using subjects’ age, education, gender, and IAF peak as covariates. Mauchly’s test evaluated the sphericity assumption. Correction of the degrees of freedom was made with the Greenhouse-Geisser procedure. Duncan test was used for post-hoc comparisons (p < 0.05). In particular, two ANOVA designs were used to address the main scientific issues of the study.

The first ANOVA design compared the spatial distribution of resting state EEG sources between the Nold and mild AD groups, using the regional LORETA solutions as a dependent variable. The ANOVA factors (levels) were Group (Nold, mild AD; independent variable), Region of interest (central, frontal, parietal, occipital, temporal), and Band (delta, theta, alpha 1, alpha 2, beta 1, beta 2, gamma).

The second ANOVA design compared the spatial distribution of resting state EEG sources in the mild AD group over time, using the regional LORETA solutions as a dependent variable. The ANOVA factors were Condition (Recording I, Recording II; dependent variable), Band (delta, theta, alpha 1, alpha 2, beta 1, beta 2, gamma), and ROI (central, frontal, parietal, occipital, temporal).

RESULTS

Demographic data

Table 2 summarizes the relevant demographic and clinical data of the recruited Nold and mild AD patients. T-testing for independent populations was computed to evaluate the presence or absence of statistically significant differences between the two groups (i.e., Nold and mild AD) for age, education, and MMSE (p < 0.05). Furthermore, Fisher exact test was computed to evaluate the presence or absence of statistically significant differences between the two groups for gender (p < 0.05). As expected, a statistically significant difference was found for MMSE (p < 0.00001; higher MMSE for Nold than mild AD subjects). On the contrary, no statistically significant difference was found for age, gender, and education (p > 0.1). However, the age, gender, and education values were used as covariates in the subsequent statistical analysis, to exclude that the small differences in age, gender, and education could influence the subsequent statistical analysis.

Topography of the EEG cortical sources as estimated by LORETA

For illustrative purpose, Fig. 2 maps the grand average of the LORETA solutions (i.e., relative power current density at cortical voxels) modeling the distributed EEG cortical sources for delta, theta, alpha 1, alpha 2, beta 1, beta 2, and gamma bands in the Nold and in the mild AD groups (Recording I). The Nold group presented alpha 1 sources with the maximal values of power distributed in the posterior regions. Delta, theta, and alpha 2 sources had moderate power values when compared to the alpha 1 sources. Finally, the beta 1, beta 2, and gamma sources were characterized by lowest power values. Compared to the Nold group, the mild AD group showed a strong power reduction of posterior alpha sources, along with a power increase of widespread delta sources.

Figure 3 maps the grand average of the LORETA solutions (i.e., relative power current density at cortical voxels) modeling the distributed EEG sources for delta, theta, alpha 1, alpha 2, beta 1, beta 2, and gamma bands in the mild AD group at Recordings I and II. Compared to the Recordings I, the Recordings II was characterized by a decrease of widespread alpha and posterior beta 1 sources as well as an increase of widespread delta sources.

Statistical analysis of the LORETA solutions

The ANOVA for the evaluation of the control hypothesis (i.e., cortical sources of resting state EEG rhythms are different between Nold and AD subjects)
Fig. 2. Grand average of LORETA solutions (i.e., normalized relative current density at the cortical voxels) modeling the distributed EEG sources for delta, theta, alpha 1, alpha 2, beta 1, beta 2, and gamma bands in Nold, and mild AD (Recording I) groups. The left side of the maps (top view) corresponds to the left hemisphere. Legend: LORETA, low resolution brain electromagnetic tomography. Color scale: all power density estimates were scaled based on the averaged maximum value (i.e., alpha 1 power value of occipital region in Nold).

Fig. 3. Grand average of LORETA solutions (i.e., normalized relative current density at the cortical voxels) modeling the distributed EEG sources for delta, theta, alpha 1, alpha 2, beta 1, beta 2, and gamma bands in mild AD patients during Recording I and Recording II. The left side of the maps (top view) corresponds to the left hemisphere. Legend: LORETA, low resolution brain electromagnetic tomography. Color scale: all power density estimates were scaled based on the averaged maximum value.

showed a statistically significant interaction effect (df Effect = 24, MS Effect = 2.36; df Error = 2904, MS Error = 0.36, F = 6.26, p < 0.0001) among the factors Group (Nold, mild AD), Band (delta, theta, alpha 1, alpha 2, beta 1, beta 2, gamma), and ROI (frontal, central, parietal, occipital, temporal). Figure 4 reports the mean regional normalized LORETA solutions relative to this statistical interaction effect. The LORETA
Fig. 4. Statistical ANOVA interaction effect (df Effect = 24; MS Effect = 6.26; df Error = 2904; MS Error = 0.36; F = 6.26; p < 0.0001) among the factors Group (Nold, mild AD), Band (delta, theta, alpha 1, alpha 2, beta 1, beta 2, gamma), and ROI (frontal, central, parietal, occipital, temporal). Legend: rectangles indicate the cortical regions and frequency bands in which LORETA solutions presented statistically different values (p < 0.05, Duncan post-hoc test) in Nold with respect to mild AD subjects.

solutions had the shape of EEG relative power spectra. Notably, the profile and power of these spectra in the Nold and in the mild AD group differed across various cortical macro-regions, thus supporting the idea that scalp EEG rhythms are generated by a distributed pattern of cortical sources. Planned post-hoc testing disclosed the pattern Nold > AD for the central, parietal, occipital, and temporal alpha 1 sources (p < 0.000005), as well as for the parietal and occipital alpha 2 sources (p < 0.005). Furthermore, the central, parietal, and temporal delta sources were lower in power in the Nold than in the AD group (p < 0.01).

Table 3 reports p values (Duncan post hoc) and effect sizes (Cohen’s d) [32] for the aforementioned cortical regions and frequency bands in which LORETA solutions presented statistically different values in Nold respect to mild AD (p < 0.05). These results were in line with previous EEG evidence of our group showing remarkable abnormalities of the cortical sources of the resting state delta and alpha rhythms in AD patients compared to Nold subjects [38–40, 41, 55–71, 73, 107]. Compared to the previous studies, the present investigation further demonstrated additional abnormalities of the posterior high-frequency alpha sources in the AD patients, possibly due to increasing the statistical power by analysis of a high number of enrolled AD patients.

The ANOVA for the evaluation of the working hypothesis (i.e., delta and alpha sources deteriorate along the progression of the disease) showed a statistically significant interaction effect (df Effect = 24; MS Effect = 0.56; df Error = 2088; MS Error = 0.14; F = 3.95; p < 0.0001) among the factors Condition (Recording I, Recording II), Band (delta, theta, alpha 1, alpha 2, beta 1, beta 2, gamma), and ROI (central, frontal, parietal, occipital, temporal). Figure 5 shows the mean regional normalized LORETA solutions relative to this statistical ANOVA interaction effect. The Duncan planned post-hoc testing showed that compared to Recording I, Recording II was characterized
by lower power of the frontal, central, parietal, occipital, and temporal alpha 1 \((p < 0.05)\) and alpha 2 \((p < 0.05)\) sources. The same was true for the parietal, occipital, and temporal beta 1 sources \((p < 0.05)\). In addition, the power of the frontal, parietal, occipital, and temporal delta sources \((p < 0.05)\) was greater in the Recording II than in the Recording I. Table 4 reports \(p\) values \((\text{Duncan post hoc})\) and effect sizes \((\text{Cohen's } d)\) for the cortical regions and frequency bands in which LORETA solutions presented statistically different values in Recording I with respect to Recording II \((p < 0.05)\).

**Additional analyses**

To cross-validate the LORETA results reported above on the comparison between Recording II and Recording I in mild AD subjects, the analysis was directly repeated on the recorded EEG data used as input for the LORETA analyses. This was done to control for a possible effect of the source and head modeling procedures of the LORETA technique. The same frequency bands of interest of the LORETA analyses were considered, namely delta \((2–4 \text{ Hz})\), theta \((4–8 \text{ Hz})\), alpha 1 \((8–10.5 \text{ Hz})\), alpha 2 \((10.5–13 \text{ Hz})\), beta 1 \((13–20 \text{ Hz})\), beta 2 \((20–30 \text{ Hz})\), and gamma \((30–40 \text{ Hz})\). Analogous to the LORETA analysis, five ROIs were considered. These ROIs included respectively: (i) C3, Cz, and C4 electrodes for the central region, (ii) F3, Fz, and F4 electrodes for the

---

Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LORETA current density</th>
<th>(p) values</th>
<th>Effect sizes (Cohen’s (d))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frontal delta</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal delta</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital delta</td>
<td>0.000007</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal delta</td>
<td>0.00007</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central alpha 1</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal alpha 1</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal alpha 1</td>
<td>0.000002</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital alpha 1</td>
<td>0.000002</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal alpha 1</td>
<td>0.000001</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central alpha 2</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal alpha 2</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal alpha 2</td>
<td>0.000001</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital alpha 2</td>
<td>0.000001</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal alpha 2</td>
<td>0.000005</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal beta 1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital beta 1</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal beta 1</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
frontal region, (iii) P3, Pz, and P4 electrodes for the parietal region, (iv) O1 and O2 electrodes for the occipital region, and (v) T3, T4, T5, and T6 for the temporal region. The same kind of normalization of the LORETA solutions was used for the EEG spectral solutions of this additional analysis. The spectral power density at each electrode was normalized to the spectral power density averaged across all frequencies (0.5–45 Hz) and across all electrodes. The values of the normalized spectral power density of the electrodes belonging to the same ROI were averaged at each of the seven frequency bands of interest. The results of this additional data analysis were used as inputs for an ANOVA. The values of the regional normalized spectral power density served as dependent variables. The ANOVA factors were Condition (Recording I, Recording II, dependent variable), Band (delta, theta, alpha 1, alpha 2, beta 1, beta 2, gamma), and ROI (central, frontal, parietal, occipital, temporal). Subjects’ age, education, gender, and IAF peak were used as covariates. This ANOVA showed a statistically significant interaction effect (df Effect = 6; MS Effect = 8.19; df Error = 522; MS Error = 1.6; F = 5.1; p < 0.0001) between the factors Condition and Band. Figure 6 shows the mean normalized spectral power density relative to this statistical ANOVA interaction effect. The Duncan planned post-hoc testing showed that compared to Recording I, Recording II was characterized by lower alpha 1 (p < 0.00005) and alpha 2 power (p < 0.001), regardless of the factor ROI. In addition, the delta power was greater in Recording II than in the Recording I (p < 0.05). The present results confirmed, with less spatial resolution, those obtained by LORETA analysis. In particular, the absence of difference at beta band between Recording I and Recording II was predicted due to less spatial resolution of scalp EEG data.

As a further additional analysis, the LORETA source solutions of Recording I were correlated with MMSE score across all Nold and mild AD patients as single group (Pearson test, p < 0.05). The LORETA source solutions were those showing statistically significant post-hoc differences between the Nold and the mild AD group (p < 0.05). Results showed that the pathological delta sources negatively correlated to the MMSE score, whereas the correlation was positive between the alpha 1 and alpha 2 sources and the MMSE score. The significant correlations were observed with the parietal delta sources (p < 0.05), as well as with the parietal, occipital, and temporal alpha 1 and occipital alpha 2 sources (p < 0.05). Table 5 reports the r and p values of the statistically significant correlations between the LORETA source solutions of Recording I and the MMSE score (p < 0.05).

As another control analysis, we performed an ANOVA design to confirm the predicted decline of the MMSE score in the whole AD group at 1-year follow up. As expected, the analysis showed a statistically significant higher value of the MMSE score at baseline (i.e., MMSE I) compared to that recorded at the 1-year follow up (i.e., MMSE II; p < 0.0001). Afterwards, the power of the baseline (Recording I) delta and alpha 1
The statistical values of linear correlation sources and the difference of MMSE values (MMSE II – MMSE I) in the mild AD patients (Pearson test, p < 0.05) were shown those showing statistically significant post-hoc differences between the Nold and the mild AD group (p < 0.05).

**Table 5**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Comparison</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parietal delta LORETA versus MMSE</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital alpha 1 LORETA versus MMSE</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal alpha 1 LORETA versus MMSE</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital alpha 2 LORETA versus MMSE</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 7.** Scatterplots of LORETA solutions for the temporal delta score difference (MMSE II – MMSE I). A further additional analysis was performed to ensure that the above described LORETA source differences between Recording II and Recording I in mild AD were not due to the large number of subjects used for the analysis. To address this issue, we considered a sub-group of mild AD subjects (n = 40; 10 female; mean age: 76.8 ± 1 SE; mean education: 5.2 ± 0.4 SE). An ANOVA using the regional LORETA solutions as a dependent variable and the factors Condition (Recording I, Recording II), Band (delta, theta, alpha 1, alpha 2, beta 1, beta 2, gamma), and ROI (central, frontal, parietal, occipital, temporal) was performed. The ANOVA showed a statistically significant interaction effect (df Effect = 24; MS Effect = 0.3; df Error = 936; MS Error = 0.11; F = 2.63; p < 0.0001). Figure 8 shows the mean regional normalized LORETA solutions relative to the statistical ANOVA interaction effect. The Dunnet planned post-hoc testing showed that compared to Recording I, Recording II was characterized by lower power of the parietal, occipital, and temporal alpha 1 (p < 0.000005) as well as central, parietal, occipital, and temporal alpha 2 (p < 0.05) sources. The same was true for the parietal, occipital, and temporal beta 1 sources (p < 0.05). In addition, the power of the central, frontal, parietal, occipital, and temporal delta sources (p < 0.005) was greater in Recording II compared to Recording I. This control ANOVA analysis globally confirmed the results obtained with the whole group.

The comparison between the results obtained with n = 40 and n = 88 mild AD subjects highlights a crucial question on the sample size of mild AD subjects that must be used to study disease state or progression in clinical trials. The sample size used in a study is determined based on the previous data collection, and to ensure sufficient statistical power. Here, we evaluated the sample size required to yield a statistical power of p < 0.05 using the EEG results obtained with 88 mild AD subjects and 35 Nold subjects. We decided to use EEG results on posterior alpha 1 sources that presented a higher difference either in the comparison between Nold and mild AD subjects (disease state markers) or in the comparison between Recording I and Recording II in mild AD patients (disease progression markers).

Sample size was calculated using Cohen’s tables [32]. Table 6 reports the sample sizes required to yield a statistical power p < 0.05 using EEG data of parietal, occipital and temporal alpha 1 sources. The results suggest the minimum sample size to study disease state with the EEG markers was about 30 subjects, whereas the minimum sample size to study disease progression was about 100 subjects.

As a last additional analysis, we evaluated the variance of the LORETA solutions in the mild AD subjects. To address this issue, we measured the coefficient of variation (CV) for the difference of regional normalized LORETA solutions between Recording II and Recording I. Table 7 reports the CV values for the seven bands (delta, theta, alpha 1, alpha 2, beta 1,
Fig. 8. Statistical ANOVA interaction effect (df Effect = 24, MS Effect = 0.3, df Error = 936, MS Error = 0.11; F = 2.63; p < 0.0001) among the factors Condition (Recording I, Recording II), Band (delta, theta, alpha 1, alpha 2, beta 1, beta 2, and ROI (frontal, central, parietal, occipital, temporal)). Legend: rectangles indicate the cortical regions and frequency bands in which LORETA solutions presented statistically different values (p < 0.05, Duncan post-hoc test) in Recording I with respect to Recording II.

Table 6

Sample sizes required to yield a statistical power p < 0.05 using EEG data of parietal, occipital and temporal alpha 1 sources of mild AD (n = 88) and Nold (n = 35) subjects. Sample size was calculated using Cohen’s tables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AD disease state</th>
<th>Sample size required to yield p &lt; 0.05</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AD progression</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal alpha 1</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital alpha 1</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal alpha 1</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

beta 2, gamma) and five ROIs (frontal, central, parietal, occipital, temporal). The CV values were lower than 0.45 suggesting that the distribution of LORETA solutions in the mild AD subjects can be considered at low-variance (this is typically the case of CV <1; CV <1 would denote high variance).

Finally, to evaluate the absence of remarkable outliers, Figure 9 illustrates the individual values of the difference of regional normalized LORETA solutions between Recording II and Recording I. In particular, the difference of regional normalized LORETA solutions are represented for seven band (delta, theta, alpha 1, alpha 2, beta 1, beta 2, gamma) and five ROI (frontal, central, parietal, occipital, temporal). The figure shows the absence of remarkable outliers.

Table 7

Values of coefficient of variation (CV) for the difference of regional normalized LORETA solutions between Recording II and Recording I in mild AD groups. The CV values are reported for seven Band (delta, theta, alpha 1, alpha 2, beta 1, beta 2, gamma) and five ROI (frontal, central, parietal, occipital, temporal).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficient of variation (CV)</th>
<th>Central</th>
<th>Frontal</th>
<th>Parietal</th>
<th>Occipital</th>
<th>Temporal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delta</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theta</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha 1</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha 2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta 1</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta 2</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

We tested the hypothesis that the cortical sources of resting state EEG rhythms were sensitive to both
disease state and progression in a large cohort of mild AD patients. The EEG source markers of the disease state were first extracted from the patients’ baseline EEG recordings. The central, parietal, occipital, and temporal alpha sources at low-frequency as well as the parietal and occipital alpha sources at high-frequency were lower in power in the mild AD patients than in the Nold subjects. Furthermore, the central, parietal, and temporal delta sources were higher in power in the mild AD patients than in the Nold subjects. These results extend previous EEG data generated from our group showing remarkable abnormalities of the posterior cortical sources of the resting state delta and alpha rhythms in AD patients compared to Nold subjects [38-41, 55-71, 73, 107]. Compared to the previous studies, the present investigation demonstrated additional abnormalities of the posterior high-frequency alpha sources in the AD patients, possibly due to the optimal statistical power enabled by the large cohort of AD patients.

As a second step of the study, the EEG source markers of AD progression were obtained by a comparison between baseline and 1-year follow-up EEG recordings. The central, frontal, parietal, occipital, and temporal alpha sources at low- and high-frequency were lower in power in the 1-year follow-up than in the baseline EEG recordings, along with a slight decrease in power of parietal, occipital, and temporal beta sources at low-frequency. Furthermore, the frontal, parietal, occipital, and temporal delta sources...
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Table 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Statistical Comparisons</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To test possible differences between the Nold and mild AD for age, education, gender, MMSE</td>
<td>Fisher exact test (gender)</td>
<td>No statistically significant difference between the Nold and mild AD for age, education, and gender (p &gt; 0.1); Statistically significant difference for MMSE value (p = 0.00001): MMSE was lower in the mild AD than Nold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To test possible differences in the individual alpha frequency peak (IAF peak) between the Nold and mild AD</td>
<td>T-test</td>
<td>Statistically significant difference (p &lt; 0.05): IAF peak was lower in the mild AD than Nold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To test possible differences in the IAF peak between Recording I and Recording II in the mild AD</td>
<td>T-test</td>
<td>Statistically significant difference (p &lt; 0.05): IAF peak was lower at Recording II than Recording I in the mild AD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To compare cortical (LORETA) sources of EEG rhythms between the Nold and mild AD at Recording I</td>
<td>ANOVA Factors: Group (Nold, mild AD), Band (delta, theta, alpha1, alpha2, beta1, beta2, gamma), ROI (central, frontal, parietal, occipital, temporal) Covariates: Age, gender, education, IAF</td>
<td>Statistically significant interaction effect among the factors Group, Band, and ROI (F = 6.1, p &lt; 0.0001). Duncan planned post-hoc testing disclosed: (i) the pattern Nold &gt; AD for parietal, occipital and temporal alpha 1 sources (p &lt; 0.000005) as well as for parietal and occipital beta 2 sources (p &lt; 0.005); (ii) the pattern Nold &lt; AD for central, parietal, and temporal delta sources (p &lt; 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To compare cortical (LORETA) sources of EEG rhythms between Recording I and Recording II in mild AD</td>
<td>ANOVA Factors: Condition (Recording I, Recording II), Band (delta, theta, alpha1, alpha2, beta1, beta2, gamma), ROI (central, frontal, parietal, occipital, temporal)</td>
<td>Statistically significant interaction effect among the factors Condition, Band, and ROI (F = 3.9, p &lt; 0.0001). Duncan planned post-hoc testing disclosed: (i) the pattern Recording II &gt; Recording I for frontal, central, parietal, occipital, and temporal alpha 1 and alpha 2 (p &lt; 0.05) sources as well as for parietal, occipital, and temporal beta 1 (p &lt; 0.05); (ii) the pattern Recording II &gt; Recording I for frontal, parietal, occipital, and temporal delta sources (p &lt; 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To compare scalp EEG rhythms between Recording I and Recording II in mild AD</td>
<td>ANOVA Factors: Condition (Recording I, Recording II), Band (delta, theta, alpha1, alpha2, beta1, beta2, gamma), ROI (central, frontal, parietal, occipital, temporal)</td>
<td>Statistically significant interaction effect between the factors Condition and Band (F = 5.1; p &lt; 0.0001). Duncan planned post-hoc testing disclosed: (i) the pattern Recording II &lt; Recording I for alpha 1 (p &lt; 0.00005) and alpha 2 sources (p &lt; 0.004); (ii) the pattern Recording II &gt; Recording I for delta sources (p &lt; 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To test the correlation between LORETA source solutions of the Recording I and MMSE II in mild AD</td>
<td>Pearson test</td>
<td>Statistically significant correlations between MMSE score and parietal delta sources (p &lt; 0.05), as well as with the parietal, occipital, and temporal alpha 1 and occipital alpha 2 sources (p &lt; 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To test the expected decline of the MMSE score in the mild AD at 1-year follow up</td>
<td>T-test</td>
<td>Statistically significant difference (p &lt; 0.0001): MMSE was lower at Recording II than Recording I in the mild AD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To evaluate the correlation between LORETA source solutions of the MMSE score baseline and 1-year follow up (MMSE II – MMSE II in mild AD</td>
<td>Pearson test</td>
<td>Statistically significant negative correlation between temporal delta sources and MMSE difference (p &lt; 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To compare cortical (LORETA) sources of EEG rhythms between Recording I and Recording II in a sub-group of mild AD</td>
<td>ANOVA Factors: Condition (Recording I, Recording II), Band (delta, theta, alpha1, alpha2, beta1, beta2, gamma), ROI (central, frontal, parietal, occipital, temporal)</td>
<td>Statistically significant interaction effect among the factors Condition, Band, and ROI (F = 2.63, p &lt; 0.0001). Duncan planned post-hoc testing disclosed: (i) the pattern Recording II &gt; Recording I for parietal, occipital, and temporal alpha 1, for central, parietal, occipital, and temporal alpha 2, for parietal, occipital, and temporal delta 1 sources (p &lt; 0.05); (ii) the pattern Recording II &gt; Recording I for central, frontal, parietal, occipital, and temporal delta sources (p &lt; 0.05)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
were higher in power in the 1-year follow up compared to baseline EEG recordings. These results provide further evidence, extended to the spatial source domain, to add to longitudinal EEG studies showing that at about 2-years follow up, MCI patients were characterized by a power increase of delta and theta rhythms in temporal and occipital scalp regions associated to a power reduction of beta rhythms [51]. Furthermore, AD patients presented a power increase of delta and theta rhythms in temporal, parietal and/or occipital scalp regions when tested at 1–3 years follow up [49, 50].

The present study differs from previous studies in that the EEG source markers of the disease state and progression are computed and compared in our large cohort of AD patients. Common EEG source markers of the disease state and progression were observed at central, parietal, and temporal delta rhythms, at central, parietal, occipital, and temporal low-frequency alpha rhythms, and at parietal and occipital high-frequency alpha rhythms. Particular EEG source markers of disease progression were observed at parietal, occipital, and temporal low-frequency beta rhythms. A hypothesis of the neurophysiological mechanism of the present results is proposed on the basis of the following theoretical considerations. In the condition of slow-wave sleep, corticofugal slow oscillations (≤1 Hz) are effective in grouping thalamic-generated delta rhythms (1–4 Hz) and spindling activity (7–14 Hz) rhythms [108]. During wake up, spindles as well as high and low components of the delta rhythms are blocked by the inhibition of oscillators within, respectively, reticulo-thalamic (7–14 Hz), thalamo-cortical (1–4 Hz), and intracortical (≤1 Hz) neuronal circuits [108]. In awake subjects, delta rhythms are considered a sign of brain damage, namely of disconnection of the cortico-cortical wiring [109, 110]. In the condition of awake resting state eyes-closed condition, the EEG source markers of disease progression were observed at parietal, occipital, and temporal low-frequency beta rhythms. A hypothesis of the neurophysiological mechanism of the present results is proposed on the basis of the following theoretical considerations. In the condition of slow-wave sleep, corticofugal slow oscillations (≤1 Hz) are effective in grouping thalamic-generated delta rhythms (1–4 Hz) and spindling activity (7–14 Hz) rhythms [108]. During wake up, spindles as well as high and low components of the delta rhythms are blocked by the inhibition of oscillators within, respectively, reticulo-thalamic (7–14 Hz), thalamo-cortical (1–4 Hz), and intracortical (≤1 Hz) neuronal circuits [108]. In awake subjects, delta rhythms are considered a sign of brain damage, namely of disconnection of the cortico-cortical wiring [109, 110]. In the condition of awake resting state eyes-closed condition, dominant low-frequency alpha rhythms (about 8–10 Hz) would denote the synchronization of diffuse neural networks regulating the fluctuation of a subject’s global arousal and consciousness states, whereas high-frequency alpha rhythms (about 10–12 Hz) would denote the synchronization of more selective neural networks specialized in the processing of modal specific or semantic information [36, 111]. When the subject is engaged in sensorimotor or cognitive tasks, alpha and low-frequency beta rhythms reduce in amplitude (i.e., “de-synchronization or blocking”) and are replaced by fast EEG oscillations at high-frequency beta (about 20–30 Hz) and gamma (≥30 Hz) rhythms [111]. An unresolved issue is the complex interaction of ascending neurotransmitter systems for the regulation of the resting state EEG rhythms (i.e., glutamergic, cholinergic, serotonergic, histaminergic, noradrenergic, GABAergic, etc.). In this complex interaction, alpha rhythms might mainly depend on cholinergic, glutamatergic, and GABAergic neuro-modulatory systems as demonstrated in recent animal studies on populations of thalamic and cortical neurons [112, 113].

Keeping in mind these data and theoretical considerations, it can be speculated that in the resting state eyes-closed condition, the EEG source markers of the AD state and disease progression would reflect an abnormal enhancement of the pathological EEG slow-frequency rhythms (i.e., delta and theta) as a cortical “disconnection mode” impinging upon thalamo-cortical circuits [108–116]. Furthermore, there might be an abnormal tonic desynchronization of the cortical alpha rhythms indicating an exaggerated and unselective activation of brain networks underlying vigilance (i.e., arousal) and semantic/sensorimotor functions [36, 111]. In addition, the EEG source markers of AD progression would reflect a worsening of the above pathological mechanisms in terms of magnitude and enhancing effects on higher EEG frequencies (i.e., beta).

CONCLUSIONS

Previous evidence has shown that cortical sources of resting state EEG rhythms are abnormal in subjects with AD. Here we tested the hypothesis that these sources were also sensitive to the progression of AD over 1 year. To this aim, the resting state eyes-closed EEG data were recorded in a large cohort of mild AD patients and in control Nold subjects. Along the observation period of about 1 year, the mild AD patients lost about 2 points of MMSE score, on average. Compared to the Nold subjects, the mild AD patients were characterized by a power increase of widespread delta sources and by a power decrease of posterior alpha sources (i.e., EEG markers of “disease state”). In the mild AD patients, the 1-year follow-up EEG recordings showed increased power of widespread delta sources as well as decreased power of widespread alpha and posterior beta 1 sources (i.e., EEG markers of “disease progression”). These results suggest that the resting state EEG sources seem to be sensitive, at least at group level, to the cognitive decline that occurred in the mild AD group over 1 year, and might represent cost-effective, non-invasive, and popular markers to follow the disease tracking of large AD populations in clinical trials.
A future crucial issue will be to evaluate whether resting state EEG sources can measure cognitive decline (i.e., disease progression) in a single AD subject. Future study using artificial neural networks or other mathematical predictors (i.e., Bayesian) will address this interesting point.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Prof./Drs. Katarzyna Blinowska, Franciszek Rakowski, Fabrizio Vecchio, and Maciej Kaminski for their fruitful comment and advice on this study. This research was developed thanks to the financial support by the Italian Ministry of Health, GR-2008-1143091 and GR-2008-1143090 projects, as well as by European Committee in the framework of the FP7-ICT Infrastructure project entitled “Diagnostics Enhancement of Confidence by an International Distributed Environment” (“DECIDE”, Grant Agreement: RI-261593). Please find complete information about the DECIDE Consortium and principal investigators at http://www.deci-de-collaboration.eu.


REFERENCES


1035


